







LICARA –

Life cycle oriented guidelines for the sustainable competitiveness of nanoproducts

C. Som, I. Hincapie, C. Coll, R. Hischier, D. Notter, B. Nowack, J. Güttinger, E. Zondervan, T. van Harmelen







Present situation for SMEs

Nanomaterials are expected to be key for the innovation

Open questions:

- Specific benefits of nanomaterials?
- Legal issues?
- Nanospecific risks for the environment and health?
- Environmental sustainability of nanoproducts?
- LCA and Risk assessment (RA) is too costly for SMEs
- Uncertainty about benefits and risks
- Information is fragmented and dispersed -> not available to SMEs







Goals of the project LICARA

Support for

- 1. the development of safe and sustainable nanoproducts
- 2. systematic and transparent assessment
- 3. documentation of benefits and risks of nanoproducts









Output of the project LICARA









LICARA Guidelines and NanoSCAN



- 5 -







Content of the Guidelines

- Proposal for a systematic proceeding in order to assess benefits and risks of a nanomaterial or nanoproduct qualitatively.
- Integrated knowledge about benefits and risks based on the state of research and experience in the LICARA consortium
- Interfaces to the semi-quantitative NanoSCAN-Tool







Step 1: Nano-relevance

- Different Definitions of EU and ISO
- Sectorspecific definitions
- Definitions are still under development









Step 2: Legal framework

Generic legislation

- REACH (Registration, Evaluation, Authorization and Restriction of Chemicals)
 - > 31 May 2013 registration of chemicals above 100 tonnes
 - > 31 May 2017 registration of chemicals above 1 tonnes
 - > No specific nanoregistration
- Chemical Agency Directive (CAD)
- Classification, Labelling and packaging (CLP)
- General Product Safety Directive (GSPD)

Specific legislation

> e.g. cosmetics, biocides, food, food contact materials

These legislative aspects are dealt with in a very simple way in LICARA nanoSCAN Box 0.







Step 3: Benefits of nanomaterials

Integration of nanoparticles in products may lead to Improved:

Environmental performance:

- lighter materials (resource savings),
- resistant surfaces (prolonged product lifetime)

Economic performance:

- reduction of costs by e.g. easy handling, saving precious rare materials, materials savings)
 Social performance:
- improved hygiene
- improved safety of products







Step 4: Materials & functions

Nanoparticle type	Ac	700	nO SiO ₂	TiO ₂			"nanoday"	CR	CNT	MWCNT	SWCNT	Fe-O-	7r0-	600	CUO	MgO/
Potential functional effects	Ay	2110		Anatase	Rutile		папостау	СВ	CNI	WWCNT	SVVCIVI	Fe ₂ O ₃	2102	CeO ₂	CuO	Mg(OH)
Abrasion resistance		~	~			~	~		~							
Antimicrobial activity	~	~		~	~										~	~
Antistatic	~							~	~	~	~					
Carrier of active agents			~				~									
Catalyst															~	~
Dirt repellent		~	~	~												
Easy to clean				~												
Electrical conductivity	~							~	~							
Flame retardant		~	~	~	~	~	~		~	~	~					~
High chemical resistance						~										
Hydrophobic (water repellent)		~	~	~	~											
Hydrophillic			~													
Magnetic												~				
Mechanical (stiffness and hardness)			~			~			~	~	~		~	~		
Optical (UV reflection)		~			~							~		~		
Photo catalytic activity		~		~	~											
Pigment		~		~		~		~				~				
Scratch resistance		~				~							~	~		
Self-cleaning	~	~	~	~	~											
Thermal conductivity	~								~	~	~					
Thermal insulation		~	~	 	~				~	~	~					







Step 5: Product - Design

"Stability factors"	Stability of NM in the matrix material					
	Tends to be higher	Tends to be lower				
Compatibility between NM and their matrix material (fibre polymer, coating)	NPs exhibit high wettability	NPs exhibit low wettability				
Location of NPs in the product	Fully embedded in the matrix	Exposed on the material surface				
Bond between NPs and the matrix	Bonds are covalent	Bonds are non- covalent				
Intrinsic properties of the NPs:photocatalytic activity of NPsstability of NPs against aging	Not photocatalytic High stability	Photocatalytic Low stability				
Resistance of matrix material to abrasion or chemical attack	Resistant	Not resistant				
Mechanical properties of the matrix material	flexible	brittle				
Functional barrier (e.g. coating, plastic layer)	Functional barrier is present	Functional barrier is absent				
Closed systems, e.g. fuel cells, batteries, solar cells.	System is fully contained	System is not contained				





- 12 -

LARA



What is the risk?











Step 6: Health effects

				SiO ₂			CNT			
Hazard potential	Ag	ZnO	TiO ₂	amorph ous	Al ₂ O ₃	Nano- clay	Rigid	Flexible	СВ	
Acute toxicity				-						
- via inhalation	-/+	-	-	-/+	-/+	n.a.	-/+*	-/+*	n.a.	
- via ingestion	-	-	-	-	-	-	n.a.	-	-	
- via skin contact	-	-	-	-	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	-	n.a.	
Mutagenicity	-	-	-	_/+	_/+	n.a.	-	-	+	
Chronic toxicity (expected long-term effects)										
- via inhalation	+	+	+	+	-/+	n.a.	++	+	++	
- via ingestion	-/+	-/+	-	-	-/+	-	n.a.	n.a.	-	
- via skin contact	-	n.a.	-	-	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	-	

++ high toxicity, + medium toxicity, +/- weak evidence for toxicity - low toxicity n.a. no data available







Step 6: Exposure, release

Nano- related activity	Potential human exposure	Risk Management Measures for reducing exposure
Spraying nano-enabled coatings	High	Ventilated spraycabin Face mask
Handling large amounts of powdered nanomaterial	High	Enclosed systems Ventilation Face mask
Batch mixing of powdered nanomaterial with liquid	Medium	Enclosed system Reduce mixing speed Ventilation Face mask
Handling small amounts of powdered nanomaterial	Low	Enclosed systems Ventilation Face mask
Brushing nano-enabled coatings	Low	N/A
Careful use of a solid nano- enabled products	Low	N/A

Apply Boxes 4–6 in the LICARA nanoSCAN to assess the human health risks posed by the nanoproduct.







Step 6: Behaviour of nanomaterials in technical systems

Wastewater treatment plants	In general, the vast majority (around 95%) of nanomaterials are removed from water and end up in sludge. Applying sewage sludge to soils represents one of the major flows of nanomaterials into the environment
Waste incineration plants	European waste incineration plants are equipped with flue gas cleaning systems that remove the vast majority (>99.9%) of the nanoparticulate fraction. Nanomaterials therefore end up in filter ash or bottom ash and subsequently go to landfill
Landfills	The behaviour of nanomaterials in landfills is so far unknown
Recycling	No data are as yet available about the fate of nanomaterials during recycling, but it is expected that release may occur to some extent during recycling operations as product matrices may be destroyed







Step 6: Relative environmental risks









- 17 -

Step 7: Decision making

Life cycle thinking



- Identify opportunities to increase benefits (e.g. material and energy savings) and innovation
- Select nanomaterials, functions and product design to minimalize the risks and optimize the benefits during the whole product life cycle.
- → Hedge against misinvestments
- → Comply with regulations
- → Gain competitive advantage

Apply Box 7 in the LICARA nanoSCAN facilitates decision making on the nanoproducts.









SUN-SNO-GUIDENANO Sustainable Nanotechnology Conference, Mar 9., 2015, Venice > By von Harmelen, Zondervan







1. Environmental benefits

Environmental impacts in different life cycle phases

- Manufacturing
- > Use phase
- > End-of-life

1. Environmental benefits [-11]	0.57
Manufacturing	0.04
Use	0.92
End-of-life	0.75

SUN-SNO-GUIDENANO Sustainable Nanotechnology Conference, Mar 9., 2015, Venice > By von Harmelen, Zondervan



SUN-SNO-GUIDENANO Sustainable Nanotechnology Conference, Mar 9., 2015, Venice

> By von Harmelen, Zondervan

















Acercamos el I+D a la pyme

Thank you for your attention

Thanks to the LICARA consortium and EU FP7,

I. Linkov, J. Höck, T. Walser,

D. Hart (proof reading)

